

Año 35, diciembre 2019 Nº

Revisten de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales ISSN 1012.1537/ ISSNe: 2477-9335 Depósito Legal pp 193402ZU45



Universidad del Zulia Facultad Experimental de Ciencias Departamento de Ciencias Humanas Maracaibo - Venezuela

The worldwide setting of higher education quality enhancement activities coupled with KPIs

Ali Rawabdeh¹

¹Health Planning and Management, Department of Basic Medical Sciences/ Health Services, Management Program, Faculty of Medicine, Yarmouk University, Irbid – Jordan Email: <u>ali.rawabdeh@yu.edu.jo</u>

Rami Tubaishat²

²Public Administration Department, Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, P.O. Box: 566: Yarmouk University, Irbid – Jordan Email: <u>rami.tbaishat@yu.edu.jo</u>

Jamal Abu-Doleh³

³Business Administration Department, Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, P.O. Box: 566: Yarmouk University, Irbid – Jordan Email: jdoleh@yu.edu.jo

Anis S. Khassawneh⁴

⁴Public Administration Department, Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Yarmouk University, Irbid – Jordan Email: <u>Khasawneh a@yu.edu.jo</u>

Abstract

This article aims at endorsing unmistakable ways of evaluating and upgrading the quality of higher education and making them basic to social and economic demands via comparative qualitative research methods. As a result, the standard of quality assurance (one of the eight quality Pillars of the local agency) committee has to advise all University units through meetings and mailings that this deficiency needs addressing, and AQAC is entrusting the modern quality administrators to focus on this issue. In conclusion, teaching and

Recibido: 10-11-2018 •Aceptado: 10-03-2019

learning are the core tasks of faculty who expected to acknowledge unimaginable commitment for that work.

Keywords: Quality, Improvement, Enhancement, Agencies, Education.

El entorno mundial de actividades de mejora de la calidad de la educación superior junto con los KPI

Resumen

Este artículo tiene como objetivo respaldar formas inconfundibles de evaluar y mejorar la calidad de la educación superior y hacerlas básicas para las demandas sociales y económicas a través de métodos comparativos de investigación cualitativa. Como resultado, el comité del estándar de garantía de calidad (uno de los ocho Pilares de calidad de la agencia local) debe informar a todas las unidades de la Universidad a través de reuniones y correos que esta deficiencia debe abordarse, y AQAC está confiando a los administradores de calidad modernos para que se centren en esto. problema. En conclusión, la enseñanza y el aprendizaje son las tareas centrales de los profesores que esperaban reconocer un compromiso inimaginable para ese trabajo.

Palabras clave: Calidad, Mejora, Mejora, Agencias, Educación.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Higher Education within the glob presently a day confronts an unused time due to changes within the way individuals see higher education educate. They have achieved numerous add-up to quality profile in terms of quantity and quality at programs and graduate levels in the world. Desires for ways better execution in terms of instructing and creating competent college graduates are expanding. In the meantime, universities strike to expand certain advances at the international level in terms of expanding vertically and horizontally to offer solid high quality and progressed learning opportunities to satisfy client needs. To achieve this, higher education arrangements higher education policymakers ought to make such an environment in which agencies have pride in their work and keep up with new developments and changes.

Quality is a slippery trait of values, which cannot be easily measured or quantified. Quality assurance refers to a run of audit strategies designed to protect scholastic guidelines and advance learning openings for students of satisfactory quality. Quality enhancement is taking ponder steps to bring about continual improvement within the viability of the learning involvement of students (AACSB, 2016; and, the African Union (PODGER, 2015).

The quality assurance development and enhancement in higher education directly stand as one of the most excellent needs of cuttingedge higher education systems around the globe. In the recent past decades, various countries in the world have established national quality assurance bodies in arrange to ensure that higher education has no compromise on quality (ELASSY, 2015). Due to the weight of internationalization and globalization higher education systems have had to benchmark their quality assurance criteria from the worldwide developed higher education system to orchestrate quality affirmation and legitimacy. There are different components influencing the establishment of quality assurance in higher education appeared up to be around the world in nature, be that because it may, some of the component are incomprehensible to miss to Jordan (MARIA, CLAUDIA, SARRICO & ALBERTO, 2012).

Yarmouk University still has developing intrigued in creating quality systems as a means of organizational change through the perfection of key processes. It looks to grasp the quality management philosophy and incorporate the universal quality assurance standards as an integral part of its vital objectives, since of competition and orders for responsibility by accreditation, to focus on improving the quality of scholastic and other services. So that, this article designs the responsible system of quality improvement and enhancement to coordinate and control all forms to guarantee national accreditation agencies and other statutory bodies necessities, internal and external clients, stakeholders and imminent employers are met (HARIS, 2013; SHABBIR, ABBAS, AMAN, & ALI, 2019).

Because it gets to be non-voluntary accreditation for both organizational and programs of higher education institution, Yarmouk, in a time of worldwide competition, this article will bring together the issues and proposed arrangements of quality improvement and enhancement coupled with Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to most genuine challenges confronting local and universal accreditation. (KEHM, 2013; MAHMOOD, ARSHAD, AHMED, AKHTAR, & KHAN, 2018). The worldwide setting of higher education quality enhancement activities coupled with KPIs

Yarmouk Colleges ought to learn from the all-inclusive authorized organization i.e., QAA, etc. barely to accomplish their key objective i.e., advancing overall objective; advancing environment of higher education; make the portion of higher education i.e., teachinglearning- assessment smart works out; and, actualize universal best sharpen strategies over periodic studies and scientific research. The middle of these operational plans will incorporate reengineering of quality frameworks in consonance with around the world criteria.

The article request is over the status of a quality culture within Yarmouk university, the readiness of the university to quality and accomplishments, right focus for fulfilling quality, and the mind-set all-encompassing or reductionist. This article aimed at prescribing distinctive ways of appraising and enhancing the quality of the higher education institutions and making them more critical to social and economic demands; lengthening get to higher education; increasing number of students, as a rule within the confront of diminishing unit cost; guaranteeing comparability of course of action and strategies, interior and between higher education institutions, counting universal comparisons.

2. METHODOLOGY

Data for this exploratory report were gotten by recommended of see of scattered surface utilizing characterized watchwords and electronic databases were looked covering wide range period of more than 15 a long time commencing the early of the 2000s, using content words and passage terms: Jordan, Arab world, higher education, total quality management framework, quality assurance, quality control, higher education reform, quality audit. In expansion, reference list of disseminated studies was looked physically for present articles. To play down disposition and progress the value of this report researchers conducted exhaustive examination of existing literature by implies of individual contact with senior officers at higher education authorities, government workplaces, and national quality and accreditation divisions and key universal sources i.e., QAA (QAA), AACSB, and local sources such as the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE), Jordan University and Jordan University of Science and Technology. Qualified studies were those that centered on the Jordanian higher education delivery and control systems. Avoided studies were those that centered on extensive outline and replicated considers. Two FRIEND-PEREIRA KRISTINA & NIKKI (2002)analysists autonomously screened the titles and unique of the recognized thinks about and duplications were outside. Studies considered qualified for full content screening were recovered for full review. The analysists autonomously evaluated the papers for gualification and guality and met to resolve any discrepancy with respect to qualification and/or quality. The key highlights of the considers were summarized, tables and pertinent portrayal of KPIs and area of enhancement frameworks was carried out. Despite the overwhelming endeavors to set up the quality frameworks in Yarmouk University since 2012, the researchers have done a quick SWOT analysis and find essential (not compelled

to) preeminent hindrances to quality among which of: framework and procedures, culture, organization design, administration perspectives. Systems and methodologies: quelling the intrigued of quality; Culture: states of mind, values and convictions.

As an action report, the researchers concur to construct the body of the research about on the bases of target industry higher education subsystems identification in Jordan which has certain demographic characteristics, briefing almost the higher education and quality systems with the focus on development and enhancement trends with the focus on government-funded services to be compared at neighborhood, regional and international levels (CAROLE & STEPHEN, 2010; MANSOURI, 2016).

There is a need for Yarmouk University to be vital in framing quality systems and the sharpening of the same and guaranteeing that esteem in all services provided. This will engage Yarmouk to alter its foci of quality administration to realized advancement and improvement in conjunction with around the world adaptable components of present-day higher education directions. In doing so, this article will offer to Yarmouk university comprehensive tracks of standardized quality advancements and enhancement operational exercises and weigh them on the scale of quality assurance to ensure a key adjustment required for improved quality.

3. RESULTS

The article request is over the status of a quality culture within Yarmouk university, the readiness of the university to quality and accomplishments, right focus for fulfilling quality, and the mind-set all-encompassing or reductionist. Since 2012, and after building up quality entities units in all colleges and relevant administrative departments to guarantee that the culture of quality is spread throughout the University, which assumed to be chaired by either the dean or vice-dean of quality assurance and accreditation and have a membership of experienced academic faculty still have no encourage advancement and has numerous oppositions namely full professor who has not excited nor motivated to acknowledge the guidelines of quality. The tasks of the quality unit are to bolster the quality handle inside the colleges or authoritative units e.g. supporting the composing of the yearly report depicting the genuine excursion of the college, reporting on the self-evaluation scales, and activities such as holding workshops.

Frequently assessing and making improvements may be a concept that is attempted at the local level. Typically embraced by the quality units in the colleges and deanships and detailed upward (e.g. (Permanent Committee for Total Quality and Accreditation, (PCTQA)) guaranteeing action is taken at the most elevated level that necessary. PCTQA, not the steering committee that ought to be shaped at the Centre for Quality level, committee should be formed and to be chaired by the University President with a participant of at least 15-20

senior staff (say for example Deans Board). This committee gives headings for the primary quality center or unit to develop a quality improvement approach of the University.

In the meantime, Yarmouk University recognizes that since 2012 not all the procedures and processes were clearly communicated or displayed in such a way as to be readily accessible by all staff. In turn, this had the potential to weaken the quality developments across the University. As a result, PCTQA Top Level Quality Committee ought to embark on a methodology to address this issue and need to launch a quality management system project development. The University needs to perceive that while the core systems are as defined by this article, there remains the opportunity to proceed to refine, create and develop its numerous procedures and processes.

Consequently, it is alive and alarm to modern thoughts and recommendations. It has to agent firmly to secure its processes, however adaptably enough to empower and advance modern thoughts and development because it endeavours to work more viably. Progressively, eight committees (8 major criteria of national accreditation agency (AQACHEI criteria)) need to be establishing to embrace inside their terms of reference the requirement to undertake an annual review of the committee's performance and recommend ideas or proposals for change (HAYWARD, 2006; USAK, KUBIATKO, SHABBIR, DUDNIK, JERMSITTIPARSERT, & RAJABION, 2019).

Quality units as part of the quality assurance process must be structured into the functional areas. The units got to contain chosen staff who are experienced in quality issues. They will be responsible for dispersing the quality culture of staff individuals through workshops, gathering, and lectures. In addition, they will be responsible for the collection of reports i.e. self-evaluation reports, departmental reports, questionnaires, student evaluation report and any other quality-related reports (CHENG, 199; GLENN, 2015).

To guarantee more noteworthy consistency in its approach to reporting, the University must make the choice of finding an (AQAC) administrator in each college. Besides, the quality units are required to submit the annual reports of the colleges, containing all activities related to the quality and creation of the college curriculum. Both academic and administrative units within the institution ought to take part in the processes of quality assurance and improvement. Reports (yearly report, program report, field experience, and course reports) are central to the improvement agenda. The other deanships and the University also need to produce an annual report. Using this approach, both routines activities relating to the patterned nature of the academic community and strategic objectives are scrutinized regularly. Analysis of these reports, notes should be running over insufficiency in potential improvements and enhancement proposed with KPIs in this article based on recommendations and action plans.

The standard of quality assurance (one of the eight quality Pillars of the local agency) committee has to advise all University units through meetings and mailings that this deficiency needs addressing, and AQAC is entrusting the modern quality administrators to focus on this issue. The usage of QMS will moreover be utilized as an The worldwide setting of higher education quality enhancement activities coupled with KPIs

opportunity to highlight this area for improvement, and the shortcomings remain on the priority list of this standard. Steady movement ought to come from the (ACAC) especially statistics and evaluation unit (This unit is focused on with giving KPIs and execution information for the University) that to be established to collects data from the various deanship reports, analyses it, and after that decide ranges of improvement and suggests action plans for quality improvement.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The researchers assessed the present information about the university and its environment with accordance to local and international standards, compare all the performances with the preestablished standards. prepare corrective actions including development of plans for improving performances; made assumption about the future; evaluated the present objectives and develop new ones; formulated strategies and operational programs that, if implemented, will accomplish the objectives; and, strategies to monitor and track performances against benchmarks. The researchers recognized the initial uncompleted Self Study of 2017/8 in Table: 2 which essentially based on few collages who reported, organize a series of meetings with key officials and stockholders; utilize students and other inputs; and, information examined and decoded as follow:

After pointing by point talk with the key authorities the researchers concluded that most of the decisions on long-term development are most of the time blocked since of require effective subsidizing strategies. The university incorporates a genuine standard data which may constrain the vital choices; whereas, it is inconceivable to choose up the essential funding for strategic development; it along these lines leads to needing of crucial vision; the loss of external evaluation leads to weakening the strategic policymaking; collaboration with other neighborhood universities, institutions in the community, and respectful specialist got to be reinforced; development of scientific visits and visiting programs are extraordinarily required, although, the current complex teaching and learning program and its working raise crucial inconveniences ; and, faculty members tremendous need for ICT training and services, and other personal development programs.

The newly revised mission statement of the university is predicted to remain years to come; the university feels a significant obligation to work with competent students who proceed to select Yarmouk University; and accentuation has been put on the preparation for lifelong learning, research, community services, and mindfulness of moral obligations. The University keeps up now a day a moderately settled number of faculty (a majority are assistant professors) with less scientific production and pedagogic experience. Students are chosen through the university framework without any coordination with colleges. There are numerous limitations to allocate funding particularly with government fellows i.e., military (JASEEM). Teaching and learning are the core tasks of faculty who expected to acknowledge unimaginable commitment for that work. Few training packages of clinical medicine are functioning well, and others are not due to lack of recourses and absent of University hospitals. Extensive training in the fields for pedagogic activities is inattentive. Research activities are lacked, few scientific publications in international refereed journals. And, students are missing in research practice.

Based on Yarmouk annual statistical report 2017-2018, 30976 students are enrolled in the university in 2018. There is a steady decline in the number of students in the university, with a 2.2% annual decrease rate. The academic staff is 1105 one third out of which are considering full professors and one third for each of the reset. The responsible student/staff ratio in the university is 1/27, whereas within the medical college it is assumed to be 1/8 in the clinical areas and 1/20 in the preclinical, but the truth is not (1/56). The annual per capita expenditure per student is about JD300, whereas the net income (cash inflow – stipend) is approximately JD 6 million which is proportionate to the entire composition if it is not less than that. In common, the university recessions are more than forty million Jordanian dinars presently a day.

REFERENCES

CAROLE, K., & STEPHEN, P, (2010). "The education revolutionary road: paved with good intentions". **ANU Press**. USA.

CHENG, T. (1991). "EPQ with Process Capability and Quality Assurance Considerations". **The Journal of the Operational Research Society**. Vol. 42, N° 8: 713-720. Germany.

ELASSY, N. (2015). "The concept of Quality, Quality Assurance, and Quality Enhancement". **Quality Assurance in Education**. Vol. 23, N° 3: 250-261. UK.

ENQA, G. (2009). "Report on Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area". **European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education**. Finland.

FRIEND-PEREIRA, C., KRISTINA, L., & NIKKI, H. (2002). "ESIB The National Unions of Students of Europe". European Student Handbook on Quality Assurance in Higher Education. Martina Vukasovic. USA.

GLENN, W. (2015). "The State of the Universities, in the Through a Glass Darkly". Social Sciences Look at the Neoliberal University, ANU Press. USA.

HARIS, I. (2013). "Assessment on the implementation of internal quality assurance at higher education (An Indonesian Report)". **Journal of Educational and Instructional Studies in the World**. Vol. 3, Nº 4: 2146-7463. USA.

HAYWARD, M. (2006). "Quality Assurance and Accreditation of Higher Education in Africa". **Higher Education Reform in Francophone Africa**. Africa.

KEHM, M. (2013). "The German System of Quality Assurance and Accreditation in Higher Education". **Il Mulino publishing company. All rights reserved. For other information see**. Bologna. Italy.

The worldwide setting of higher education quality enhancement activities coupled with KPIs

MAHMOOD, A., ARSHAD, M. A., AHMED, A., AKHTAR, S., & KHAN, S. (2018). "Spiritual intelligence research within human resource development: a thematic review". **Management Research Review**. Vol. 41, N° 8: 987-1006. UK.

MANSOURI, A. (2016). "Quality Assurance for Colleges and Universities: What are the Real Issues?" **Linkedin**. USA.

MARIA, J., CLAUDIA, S., SARRICO, H., & ALBERTO, A. (2012). "Implementing Quality Management Systems in Higher Education Institutions". **InTech**. USA.

PODGER, A. (2015). "Innovation in the public sector: beyond the rhetoric to a genuine leading culture". In the Managing under Austerity, Delivering Under Pressure, Performance and Productivity in Public Service. USA.

SHABBIR, M. S., ABBAS, M., AMAN, Q., & ALI, R. (2019). "Estrategias de reducción de la pobreza. Explorando el vínculo entre pobreza y corrupción de países menos desarrollados". **Dilemas Contemporáneos: Educación, Política y Valores**, Vol. 86, Nº 2. Mexico.

Usak, M., Kubiatko, M., Shabbir, M. S., Viktorovna Dudnik, O., Jermsittiparsert, K., & Rajabion, L. (2019). "Health care service delivery based on the Internet of things: A systematic and comprehensive study". **International Journal of Communication Systems**, 4179.



DEL ZULIA



Revista de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales Año 35, N° 24, (2019)

Esta revista fue editada en formato digital por el personal de la Oficina de Publicaciones Científicas de la Facultad Experimental de Ciencias, Universidad del Zulia.

Maracaibo - Venezuela

www.luz.edu.ve www.serbi.luz.edu.ve produccioncientifica.luz.edu.ve