

Measuring Physical Fitness among Secondary School Students of ages between 10 to 15 Years

Qasid Naveed¹

¹Lecturer, Department of Sports Sciences, University of Sargodha, Pakistan

Muhammad Badar Habib^{2,4}*

²Lecture, Department of Physical Education & Sports Sciences, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan
PhD Scholar, Centre for Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
Email: badar.habib@iub.edu.pk

Badar Mohy ud Din³

³Lecturer (Department of Health & Physical Education) Govt. Emerson College Multan Pakistan

Muhammad Zia ul Haq²

²Lecture, Department of Physical Education & Sports Sciences, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan

Masood Mafooz⁴

⁴PhD Scholar, Centre for Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Muhammad Tahir Nazeer⁵

⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Sports Sciences, University of Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

Abstract

Background: Physical inactivity globally problem whereby a large number of the population do not take part in the sports and physical activity in order to increase health benefits. Sedentary behavior is a primary risk factor among children. The main purpose of the study was to measure the norm of physical efficiency test among Recibido: 20-12-2019 •Aceptado: 20-02-2020

the school students and to investigate the physical fitness level of the students aged 10 to 15 years. Methods: The total of 506 students participated in the study aged between 10 to 15 Years. They were regularly participating in physical activity and sports in their daily routine life. The norms of physical efficiency test were used for collecting data from the male students. The age, endurance, speed, muscular strength, explosive strength, and agility of all subjects were measured. Results: On the base of analysis of the study the strength of students ages between of 10-15 years were almost half of the students standing broad jump range was between 5-6 feet while almost onethird of the students were range between 4-5 feet. Conclusion: This study expressed that the norms of the physical efficiency test is valid and reliable to assess the level of fitness among the students. Future research can use these instruments for measuring the level of fitness among the participants.

Key Words: Physical Fitness, Strength, Agility, Speed, and Flexibility.

Medición de la aptitud física entre estudiantes de secundaria de edades comprendidas entre 10 y 15 años.

Resumen

Antecedentes: la inactividad física es un problema global por el cual una gran cantidad de la población no participa en los deportes y la actividad física para aumentar los beneficios para la salud. El comportamiento sedentario es un factor de riesgo primario entre los niños. El objetivo principal del estudio fue medir la norma de la prueba de eficiencia física entre los estudiantes de la escuela e investigar el nivel de aptitud física de los estudiantes de 10 a 15 años. Métodos: El total de 506 estudiantes participaron en el estudio con edades comprendidas entre 10 y 15 años. Participaban regularmente en actividad física y deportes en su vida cotidiana. Las normas de Measuring Physical Fitness among Secondary School Students of ages between 10 to 15 Years

prueba de eficiencia física se utilizaron para recopilar datos de los estudiantes varones. Se midieron la edad, la resistencia, la velocidad, la fuerza muscular, la fuerza explosiva y la agilidad de todos los sujetos. Resultados: Sobre la base del análisis del estudio, la fuerza de los estudiantes de edades comprendidas entre 10 y 15 años fue que casi la mitad de los estudiantes con un amplio rango de salto estaba entre 5 y 6 pies, mientras que casi un tercio de los estudiantes tenían entre 4 y 4 5 pies. Conclusión: Este estudio expresó que las normas de la prueba de eficiencia física son válidas y confiables para evaluar el nivel de condición física entre los estudiantes. La investigación futura puede usar estos instrumentos para medir el nivel de condición física entre los participantes.

Palabras clave: aptitud física, fuerza, agilidad, velocidad y flexibilidad.

1. INTRODUCTION

A global problem whereby many the population are sedentary and do not participate in sport and physical activity to increase health benefits (Engeroff, Füzéki, Vogt, & Banzer, 2017). Physical inactivity has been recorded the fourth main risk factor for global mortality and 6% of mortality rate from all over the world (World Health Organization, 2013). Last four decades have put forward the number of cases that are related to adults, coming from different ethnic/radical groups, ages & gender, being exposed to the plethora of overweight and as well as the diminishing level of physical fitness (King, et al. 2000). The effect of sports and physical activity on psychological and physical health has been well described in the literature (Holtermann et al., 2013). The most important health benefits of sports and exercise are minimizing weight and prevalence of diseases (e.g. metabolic syndrome, sarcopenia, cardiopulmonary endurance, and health-related issues) in addition decreased mortal (Duarte-Rojo et al., 2018). The different types of exercises in different age groups can obtain spiritual, emotional, social, and physical benefits among children (Pan, 2019). There has been a significance relationship between education and co-curricular activities to improved psychological and physical health, such as improved mood, well-being, and quality of life (White, & Kem, 2018).

Regular participation in physical activity and sports represents one of the most health-promoting types of behavior and improve According to World Health physical fitness (Well, 2012). Organization physical activity guidelines for adults who participated in regular PA at least three times per week, for a minimum of 30 minutes (at least 150 minutes per week) of moderate to vigorous physical activity (WHO, 2013). However, if we do not attain the recommended level of physical activity engagement, any type of physical activity engagement is fruitful to one's health. Furthermore, research discloses that a minimum engagement of 15 minutes of physical activity per day can decrease mortality by 14%, potentially adding three years to longevity. Moreover, exercise also increases your life years and engagement in physical activity may also be a great source of fun and pleasure. In addition, continuous engagement in physical activity and sports is likely to improve the various physiological functions of the body (Well, 2012).

The dire consequences of having been physically not fit enough,

have not only acutely affected the individuals but also the entire society in multi-dimensional perspective (Schinke, Stambulova, Si, & Moore, 2018). This has been observed in adults that a well-established relationship exists between major factors working around them i.e. overall health of adults, their physical activities & fitness induced through healthy lifestyle (Vitali et al., 2018). Physical fitness can be expressed in a way that it is the ability of a person through which voluntarily completes daily routine activities an active manner (Nordenfelt, 2016). If one uses to actually travels through physical activities regularly he could seldom gain unnecessary weight while on the contrary, it could possibly augment his BMI known as body mass index (Andersen, Cohn, & Holbrook, 2010; Monaghan, 2008; and Vogel, 2013). A survey having been conducted by National College Health Risk Behavior (NCHRB) concluded its findings that almost 35% of students in US colleges are found to have overweight (Huang, et al. 2013). Not surprising though as the $2/3^{rd}$ population of the US has been termed and classified overweight (Flegal, 2002),

The report of the National Research Council (US) (2005) brought out its own definition of physical fitness in a way that "the ability to undertake muscular work satisfactorily." Therefore, physical fitness deems to be known as the capacity of one individual at times of performing multifarious activities in the best possible manner while not having been tired to the extent that is unreasonable & it also based upon his attributes that are crucial to his well-being & health status. According to American College of sports medicine (2013), every individual owns entirely different posture of physical fitness that is believed to vary according to nature of work, its place and the time it takes to get it done. Study findings, having shown the mighty difference between rural and urban students of Class IX & X, that the students from rural background turned out physically fit as compared to the students from urban areas. In one of his study, Waltenegus, Habib, & Ayalew, (2018) went ahead to conclude the fact that based on cardiovascular fitness there existed a land-mark difference between urban and rural students.

The major focus of the study is to extend the research in the participation of physical activity and sports to maintain their physical fitness level of the students. The objectives of the study are to investigate the physical fitness level of the students and to find out the difference in fitness level of students. The main prediction is that to assess the components of physical fitness of the students' ages 10 to 15 years and to measure the validity of physical efficiency test norms.

There is ongoing research to discover how to encourage greater participation in physical activity and sport across the world's population especially school going children. The major focus of this study is to extend the current information and knowledge regarding the norms of physical efficiency test for measuring the level of physical fitness. Apart with this study also highlight the importance of understanding how to increase the level of fitness. Moreover, health professionals such as physical education trainers equipped with this new knowledge can develop effective programs to motivate students to participate in physical activity and sports.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The quantitative research design was employed for measuring physical fitness among the school students. Pakistani male school students aged between 10 to 15 years who take part in the physical activity and sports in their daily routine life were selected for the study. Participants were consisted of those who participated in various sports and non-competitive physical activity and sports, like yoga, gym exercise, sports, racquet sports, team sports, swimming, jogging, walking, and exercise. They were recruited from their school, sports clubs, fitness centres, and recreational parks. The sample size was calculated based on a priori sample size calculator for correlation from an online statistical calculator. At the anticipated effect size $f^2 = 0.03$, desired statistical power level 0.80 or 80%, the number of predictors 6, and probability level 0.05, the minimum required sample size for this study is 506 (Soper, 2018).

3. DATA COLLECTION

The convenient sample technique was applied for data collection. The total of 506 students participated in the study with good physical condition and no disability. All the participants were secondary school students at district Bahawalpur. Those who volunteered to participate in the study completed the physical efficiency test. The students were briefed about the study. The norms of physical efficiency test were used as a means of collecting data from the male students of age between 10-15 years. The test includes (a) standing broad jump, (b) 30 mint sprint race, (c) softball through, (d) $15 \times 4=60$ meter and (e) sit-ups. The age, height, agility, endurance, speed, muscular strength, explosive strength of all subjects measured.

3.1. Data analysis

The data analyzed with the help of statistical procedure in which mean, standard deviation, t test was used to compare the data. Test contained the following information.

| Subject | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Students of ag | es 10 to 15 years | | | |
| (N = | = 506) | | | |
| Predictor | · Variables | | | |
| Componen | ts of Fitness | | | |
| Variables | Test Administered | | | |
| Endurance | $15 \times 4 = 60$ Meters | | | |
| Speed | 30 meters | | | |
| Strength | Standing Broad Jump | | | |
| Arm Strength | Soft ball throw | | | |
| Flexibility | Sit and Reach (Sit-ups) | | | |

Research Flow Chart

Measuring Physical Fitness among Secondary School Students of ages between 10 to 15 Years

3.2. Selection of Tests

The table below shows the variables test; tool and the units of the measurements of selected physical variables will be used in the study.

| S.No | Variables | Test / Instruments | Measuring |
|------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|
| | | | Units |
| 1 | Endurance | 15×4=60 meters | Seconds |
| 2 | Speed | 30 Meters running | Seconds |
| 3 | Strength | Standing Broad Jump | Feet |
| 4 | Arm Strength | Soft ball throw | Meters |
| 5 | Flexibility | Sit and Reach | Sit ups in 30 |
| | | | seconds |

Tests: Each test represents a parameter in evaluating player's performance.

| Items | Scale | f | % | Mean | S. D | Sig. |
|----------------|--------------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| | (f) | | | | | |
| | 3-4 | 12 | 2.4 | | | |
| Standing Broad | 4-5 | 161 | 31.8 | | | |
| Jump | 5-6 | 242 | 47.8 | 2.83 | .757 | .000 |
| | 6-7 | 86 | 17.0 | | | |
| | 7-8 | 5 | .9 | | | |

Table 1: shows statistical analysis of strength

Data in table 1 shows the statistical analysis of strength between

ages of 10-15 years students in the different selected districts of Pakistan. Data reveals that (48.2%) students standing broad jump range was between 5-6 feet while (32.0%) students were range between 4-5 feet. The mean score 2.83 shows the inclination toward average marks. Its *p*-value is >0.5 which shows that the results were significant.

| Items | Scale | f | % | Mean | S. D | Sig. |
|-------------|-------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| | (sec) | | | | | |
| | 7-8 | 8 | 1.6 | | .720 | .000 |
| 30 m Sprint | 6-7 | 60 | 11.8 | 3.18 | | |
| Race | 5-6 | 293 | 58.0 | | | |
| | 4-5 | 128 | 25.3 | | | |
| | 3-4 | 17 | 3.4 | | | |

Table 2: shows statistical analysis of speed between ages of 10-15 years students

Data in table 2 shows the statistical analysis of speed ability between ages of 10-15 years students. Data reveals that (58.0%) student's 30-meter Sprint race range was between 5-6 seconds while (25.3%) students were range between 4-5 feet. The mean score 3.18 shows the inclination toward appropriate marks. Its *p*-value is >0.5 which shows that the results were significant.

Table 3: Shows statistical analysis of arm strength between ages of

| Items | Scale | f | % | Mean | S. D | Sig. |
|-----------|--------------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| | (m) | | | | | |
| | 10-20 | 48 | 9.5 | | .948 | .000 |
| Soft Ball | 21-30 | 139 | 27.5 | 2.79 | | |
| Throw | 31-40 | 204 | 40.3 | | | |
| | 41-50 | 103 | 20.4 | | | |
| | 51-60 | 13 | 2.6 | | | |

10-15 years students

Data in table 3 shows the statistical information of arm strength between ages of 10-15 years students. Data reveals that (40.3%) student's soft ball Throw range was between 31-40 meters while (27.5%) students were range between 21 - 30 meters. The mean score 2.79 shows the inclination toward average marks. Its *p*-value is >0.5 which shows that the results were significant.

Table 4: Shows statistical analysis of endurance between ages of 10-15

| years | students |
|-------|----------|
|-------|----------|

| Items | Scale | f | % | Mean | S. D | Sig. |
|----------|-------|-----|------|------|--------|------|
| | (sec) | | | | | |
| | 11 | 133 | 26.3 | | .934 . | |
| 15×4=60m | 12 | 185 | 36.5 | 2.21 | | .000 |
| | 13 | 149 | 29.4 | | | |
| | 14 | 34 | 6.7 | | | |

| | 15 | 5 | 0.9 | | | |
|--|----|---|-----|--|--|--|
|--|----|---|-----|--|--|--|

Data in table 4 shows the statistical analysis of endurance ability between ages of 10-15 years students. Data reveals that (36.5%)student's endurance was 12 seconds while (29.4%) students were range 13 seconds. The mean score 2.21 shows the inclination toward below average marks. Its *p*-value is >0.5 which shows that the results were significant.

Table 5: shows statistical analysis of flexibility between ages of 10-15 vears students

| Items | Sit-ups | f | % | Mean | S. D | Sig. |
|--------|------------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| | in 30 sec. | | | | | |
| | 10-15 | 62 | 12.4 | | .756 | .000 |
| | 16-20 | 188 | 37.6 | 2.42 | | |
| Sit Up | 21-25 | 230 | 46.0 | | | |
| | 26-30 | 20 | 4.0 | | | |
| | 31-35 | 06 | 1.2 | | | |

Data in table 5 shows the statistical information of flexibility ability between ages of 10-15 years students. Data reveals that (46.0%) student's flexibility range was 21-25 in 30 seconds while (37.6%) students were range 16-20. The mean score 2.42 shows the inclination toward average marks. Its *p*-value is >0.5 which shows that the results were significant.

| | | ANOVA | | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|-----|--------|--------|
| Fitness N | lorms | Sum of | Df | Mean | F |
| | | Squares | | Square | |
| | Between | 33.572 | 4 | 8.393 | 16.467 |
| Standing | Groups | | | | |
| Broad Jump | Within | 252.290 | 495 | .510 | |
| Dioda Julip | Groups | 252.270 | 775 | .510 | |
| | Total | 285.862 | 499 | | |
| | Between | 37.252 | 4 | 9.313 | 20.835 |
| 30 m Sprint | Groups | 51.252 | - | 2.515 | 20.035 |
| Race | Within | 221.260 | 495 | .447 | |
| | Groups | 221.200 | 775 | , | |
| | Total | 258.512 | 499 | | |
| | Between | 44.068 | 4 | 11.017 | 13.489 |
| Soft Ball | Groups | 44.008 | | 11.017 | 13.409 |
| Throw | Within | 404.300 | 495 | .817 | |
| THOW | Groups | -0500 | 775 | .017 | |
| | Total | 448.368 | 499 | | |
| | Between | 52.640 | 4 | 13.160 | 17.039 |
| | Groups | 52.040 | - | 15.100 | 17.057 |
| 15 x 4= 60m | Within | 382.310 | 495 | .772 | |
| | Groups | 502.510 | 775 | .//2 | |
| | Total | 434.950 | 499 | | |
| Sit Ups | Between | 49.792 | 4 | 12.448 | 26.145 |
| 510 0 0 5 | Groups | 77.172 | - | 12.770 | 20.140 |

Qasid Naveed et al. Opción, Año 36, Especial No.26 (2020): 3493-3509

| Within Groups | 235.680 | 495 | .476 | |
|------------------|---------|-----|------|--|
| Total | 285.472 | 499 | | |

4. DISCUSSION

On the base of analysis of the study, the strength of students' ages between of 10-15 years was almost half of the students standing broad jump range was between 5-6 feet while almost one-third of the students were range between 4-5 feet. Data reveals that most of student's 30-meter Sprint race range was between 5-6 seconds while one-fourth of the students were range between 4-5 feet. Data reveals that less than half of student's softball Throw range was between 31-40 meters while one fourth of the students were range between 21-30 meters. Data shows the statistical analysis of endurance ability between the ages of 10-15 years students. Data reveals that more than one-third of the student's endurance was 12 seconds while almost one-fourth students were found between the ranges of 13 seconds. Further data shows the statistical information of flexibility ability between the ages of 10-15 years students. According to data most of the student's flexibility range was 21-25 in 30 seconds while almost one-third of students were range 16-20.

Measuring Physical Fitness among Secondary School Students of ages between 10 to 15 Years

5. CONCLUSIONS

The norm of physical efficiency test namely speed, strength, endurance, agility, and flexibility in the present sample comprises of 506 school students aged between 10 - 15 years to investigate the physical Fitness level of the students. Based on the results most of the students are physically moderate active. This study expressed that the norms of the physical sufficiency test are valid and reliable to assess the level of fitness among the students. Future research can use these instruments for measuring the level of fitness among the participants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thanks all the participants for their cooperation in the study, especially who helped to the conduct of physical efficiency test and were involved in the current study.

REFERENCES

American College of Sports Medicine. (2013). *ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Andersen, A., Cohn, L., & Holbrook, T. (2010). *Making weight: Men's conflicts with food, weight, shape and appearance.* Gurze Books.

Duarte-Rojo, A., Ruiz-Margáin, A., Montaño-Loza, A. J., Macías-Rodríguez, R. U., Ferrando, A., & Kim, W. R. (2018). Exercise and physical activity for patients with end-stage liver disease: Improving functional status and sarcopenia while on the transplant waiting list. *Liver Transplantation*, 24(1), 122-139.

Engeroff, T., Füzéki, E., Vogt, L., & Banzer, W. (2017). Breaking up sedentary time, physical activity and lipoprotein metabolism. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 20(7), 678-683.

Holtermann, A., Marott, J. L., Gyntelberg, F., Sogaard, K., Suadicani, P., Mortensen, O. S., & Schnohr, P. (2013). Does the benefit on survival from leisure time physical activity depend on physical activity at work? a prospective cohort study. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(1), e54548. doi:doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0054548

Huang, T. T. K., Harris, K. J., Lee, R. E., Nazir, N., Born, W., & Kaur, H. (2013). Assessing overweight, obesity, diet, and physical activity in college students. *Journal of American College Health*, *52*(2), 83-86.

King, A. C., Castro, C., Wilcox, S., Eyler, A. A., Sallis, J. F., & Brownson, R. C. (2000). Personal and environmental factors associated with physical inactivity among different racial–ethnic groups of US middle-aged and older-aged women. *Health psychology*, *19*(4), 354.

Monaghan, L. F. (2008). *Men and the war on obesity: A sociological study*. Routledge.

National Research Council (US). Committee on Physical Activity, Land Use, & Institute of Medicine (US). (2005). *Does the built environment influence physical activity? Examining the evidence* (No. 282). Transportation Research Board.

Nordenfelt, L. (2016). On health, ability and activity: comments on some basic notions in the ICF. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 28(23), 1461-1465.

Pan, C. Y., Tsai, C. L., Chu, C. H., Sung, M. C., Huang, C. Y., & Ma, W. Y. (2019). Effects of physical exercise intervention on motor skills and executive functions in children with ADHD: A pilot study. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, *23*(4), 384-397.

Schinke, R. J., Stambulova, N. B., Si, G., & Moore, Z. (2018). International society of sport psychology position stand: Athletes' mental health, performance, and development. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *16*(6), 622-639.

Soper, D. S. (2018). A-priori sample size calculator for multiple regression [Software]. 2014.

Vitali, F., Robazza, C., Bortoli, L., Bertinato, L., Schena, F., & Lanza, M. (2019). Enhancing fitness, enjoyment, and physical self-efficacy in primary school children: a DEDIPAC naturalistic study. *PeerJ*-The Journal of Life and Environmental Science, *7*, e6436.

Vogel, S. (2013). *Comparative biomechanics: life's physical world*. Princeton University Press.

Waltenegus, W., Habib, M. T., & Ayalew, A. (2018). Comparison of Skill Related Physical Fitness Components between Rural and Urban Secondary School Students in Hadiya Zone in SNNP Regional State, Ethiopia (Doctoral dissertation, Haramaya University).

Well, G. (2012). Superbodies: Peak Performance Secrets from the Word's Best Athletes.*Happer Collins*.

White, M. A., & Kern, M. L. (2018). Positive education: Learning and teaching for wellbeing and academic mastery. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 8(1).

World Health Organization. (2013). Global strategy on diet, physical activity and health. *Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization*.



Año 36, N° 26, (2020)

Esta revista fue editada en formato digital por el personal de la Oficina de Publicaciones Científicas de la Facultad Experimental de Ciencias, Universidad del Zulia. Maracaibo - Venezuela

www.luz.edu.ve

www.serbi.luz.edu.ve

produccioncientifica.luz.edu.ve